

Appendix A: Final Modifications Schedule to the Slinfold Neighbourhood Plan 2014-2031 8 June 2018

Examiner's Reference	Policy Number	Examiner's Proposed Modification	Decision and Justification (5 March 2018)	Action Taken and Revised Modification (5 March 2018)	Final decision and justification /Modification to be carried forward (8 June 2018)
As a consequence of changes and amendments to the final draft of the plan policy numbers, paragraph numbering and aims will be renumbered as necessary Insertions are shown as <u>underlined text</u> unless specified as a larger policy amendment. Deletions are shown as strikethrough					
PM1	Policy 7	Replace Policy 7 with "Housing developments which provide a suitable mix of one, two, three and four bed dwellings will be supported. This also includes centrally located level sites suited to older residents." There will be a consequent modification to paragraph 5.21 by the addition of 4 bedroom dwellings to the first sentence.	<p>The Council agrees with this recommendation.</p> <p>The amendment will reflect public preferences generated from community consultation and would be in line with Paragraph 50 of the NPPF and HDPF Policy 16 to provide for a wide range of tenures and house types to reflect local demand.</p>	<p>Modification taken forward to the final plan.</p> <p>Replace Policy 7 with "Housing developments which provide a suitable mix of one, two, three and four bed dwellings will be supported. This also includes centrally located level sites suited to older residents." There will be a consequent modification to paragraph 5.21 by the addition of 4 bedroom dwellings to the first sentence.</p>	<p>Modification taken forward to the final plan.</p> <p>Replace wording in Policy 7 (now Policy 6) with "<u>Housing developments which provide a suitable mix of one, two, three and four bed dwellings will be supported. This also includes centrally located level sites suited to older residents.</u>" There is a consequential modification to paragraph 5.21 by the addition of 4 bedroom dwellings to the first sentence.</p>
PM2	Policy 8	Delete the policy and insert: "Residential development at PDS8:	Horsham District Council is not in agreement with the Examiner's recommendations regarding PM2.	The reasons and modifications proposed are summarised as follows:	Taking account of further consultation responses and updated sustainability appraisal work, it is considered the reference to housing numbers on

Appendix A: Final Modifications Schedule to the Slinfold Neighbourhood Plan 2014-2031 8 June 2018

Examiner's Reference	Policy Number	Examiner's Proposed Modification	Decision and Justification (5 March 2018)	Action Taken and Revised Modification (5 March 2018)	Final decision and justification /Modification to be carried forward (8 June 2018)
		<p>East of Hayes Lane will be supported."</p> <p>Delete paragraphs 5.27 and 5.28.</p>	<p>Whilst the Council recognises that some of the criteria set out in the Submission Slinfold Neighbourhood Development Plan are covered by the HDPF and do not need to be repeated, many relate to site specific details which would give clarity to both the local community, developers and the development management decision making process, as to the scale, layout and design of development on each of the allocations. To include such criteria is in accordance with the idea of localism which aims to allow communities to guide and shape development and without any more detailed guidance could lead to a plan that is radically different to that envisaged by the community who have worked to produce the plan.</p> <p>Para 10 of the NPPF is explicit that: <i>'Plans and Decisions need to take local circumstances into account</i></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Providing clarification to guide sustainable development at this location as LPAs are expected to set out their own approach to housing density to reflect local circumstances (NPPF Para 47); 2. Criterion 5 to be modified to be in conformity with the NPPF (Para 118); 3. To have further consideration of local constraints including the retention of mature tree belts, have regard to ecology, the development should be sympathetic to wider landscape impact, have regard to the new public Right of Way proposed and support provision of new public 	<p>the site be removed. Other re-introduced criteria should be retained.</p> <p>Retain paragraph 5.27. Delete paragraph 5.28.</p> <p>Amend policy to read:</p> <p><u>Policy 7: East of Hayes Lane</u></p> <p>Residential development for at least 30 dwellings at PDS8: East of Hayes Lane in accordance with the Policies Map will be supported Residential development at PDS8: East of Hayes Lane will be supported where:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The design positively responds to the prevailing character of the surrounding area (i.e. a linear proposal which mirrors that on the

Appendix A: Final Modifications Schedule to the Slinfold Neighbourhood Plan 2014-2031 8 June 2018

Examiner's Reference	Policy Number	Examiner's Proposed Modification	Decision and Justification (5 March 2018)	Action Taken and Revised Modification (5 March 2018)	Final decision and justification /Modification to be carried forward (8 June 2018)
			<p><i>so that they respond to the different opportunities for achieving sustainable development in different areas.'</i> Para. 15 goes on to stipulate: <i>'All plans should be based upon and reflect the presumption in favour of sustainable development with policies that will guide how the presumption should be applied locally.'</i></p> <p>Furthermore, To reintroduce development criterion to each allocation policy is to plan positively for local community through neighbourhood planning. This is specified in Para. 16 of the Framework: <i>'plan positively to support local development, shaping and directing development in their area that is outside the strategic elements of the Local Plan.'</i> Para. 58. of The Framework is also explicit that Neighbourhood Plans should develop policies which establish a</p>	<p>footways including links to the Downs link and to plan positively by having regard to good design (NPPF Para. 56, 58, 75);</p> <p>4. To increase development density to at least 30 dwellings and ensure overall growth is proportionate to the size and scale of the village commentate in according with Policy 3 Settlement Hierarchy and Policy 4.</p> <p>5. Add <i>'At least'</i> to bring about further flexibility to the policy in regards to dwelling numbers to allow for viability buffer (+/- 10%) and ensure the provision of affordable housing and to ensure a range and mix of housing reflecting local demand (NPPF para. 50).</p>	<p>opposite side of Hayes Lane);</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. The houses are no more than two and a half storeys in height to reflect the local vernacular; 3. Proposals allow for the retention of existing mature trees and hedges; 4. Proposals include an appropriate buffer to enable and improve access to the Downs Link; and 5. Proposals seek to conserve and enhance biodiversity and existing ecological networks.

Appendix A: Final Modifications Schedule to the Slinfold Neighbourhood Plan 2014-2031 8 June 2018

Examiner's Reference	Policy Number	Examiner's Proposed Modification	Decision and Justification (5 March 2018)	Action Taken and Revised Modification (5 March 2018)	Final decision and justification /Modification to be carried forward (8 June 2018)
			<p>strong sense of place, respond to local character while it is proper to seek to promote or reinforce local distinctiveness (NPPF: Para 60).</p> <p>HDC considers the reintroduction of policy criterion for each of the allocation would guide development which is acceptable to the community; takes a robust account of site specific local constraints and opportunities and guide applicants in the development management process.</p>	<p>Retain paragraph 5.27. Delete paragraphs 5.28.</p> <p>It is therefore proposed that the policy wording is as follows:</p> <p><u>Policy 8: East of Hayes Lane</u></p> <p>Residential development for at least 30 dwellings at PDS8: East of Hayes Lane in accordance with the Policies Map will be supported where:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The design positively responds to the prevailing character of the surrounding area (i.e. a linear proposal which mirrors that on the opposite side of Hayes Lane); 2. The houses are no more than two and a half storeys in height to reflect the local vernacular; 	

Appendix A: Final Modifications Schedule to the Slinfold Neighbourhood Plan 2014-2031 8 June 2018

Examiner's Reference	Policy Number	Examiner's Proposed Modification	Decision and Justification (5 March 2018)	Action Taken and Revised Modification (5 March 2018)	Final decision and justification /Modification to be carried forward (8 June 2018)
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Proposals allow for the retention of existing mature trees and hedges; 4. Proposals include an appropriate buffer to enable and improve access to the Downs Link; and 5. Proposals seek to protect conserve and enhance biodiversity and existing ecological networks. 	
PM3	Policy 9	Delete Policy 9 and paragraphs 5.29 to 5.32 incl.	Horsham District Council is in agreement with the Examiner's recommendations regarding PM3	Modification carried forward into the final plan.	<p>Modification carried forward into the final plan.</p> <p>Delete Policy 9 and paragraphs 5.29 to 5.32.</p> <p>Consequential amendments to paragraph numbers are also made.</p>
PM4	Policy 10	Delete Policy 10 and insert: "Residential development at PDS10:	Horsham District Council is not in agreement with the Examiner's recommendations regarding PM4.	The reasoning and modifications proposed are summarised as follows:	Taking account of further consultation responses and updated sustainability appraisal work, it is considered the

Appendix A: Final Modifications Schedule to the Slinfold Neighbourhood Plan 2014-2031 8 June 2018

Examiner's Reference	Policy Number	Examiner's Proposed Modification	Decision and Justification (5 March 2018)	Action Taken and Revised Modification (5 March 2018)	Final decision and justification /Modification to be carried forward (8 June 2018)
		<p>Crosby Farm will be supported."</p> <p>Delete paragraph 5.378.</p> <p>Delete the Proposed Open Space and Proposed Scout Hut with Car Parking from Map PDS10.</p>	<p>Whilst the Council recognises that some of the criteria set out in the Submission Slinfold Neighbourhood Development Plan are covered by the HDPF and do not need to be repeated, many relate to site specific details which would give clarity to both the local community, developers and the development management decision making process as to the scale, layout and design of development on each of the allocations. To include such criteria is in accordance with the idea of localism which aims to allow communities to guide and shape development and without any more detailed guidance could lead to a plan that is radically different to that envisaged by the community who have worked to produce the plan.</p> <p>Para 10 of the NPPF is explicit that: <i>'Plans and Decisions need to take local circumstances into account</i></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Providing clarification to guide sustainable development at this location and to meet the Basic Conditions; 2. To be in general conformity with Para. 58 of the Framework and Policy 33 of the HDPF it was appropriate to have development criterion to reflect local circumstance. At Crosby Farm regard should be given to design in this sensitive location which is on the fringe of the village and close to the conservation area, and to consolidate the provision of community amenity at this location; and 3. <i>'At least'</i> to bring about further flexibility to the policy in regards to dwelling numbers to allow for 	<p>reference to housing numbers on the site be removed. Other re-introduced criteria are be retained.</p> <p>Amend Para 5.30 to read:</p> <p>'It is considered that the site is suitable for residential development of up to 24 dwellings together with the provision of a new cricket pavilion and associated car park on the southern edge of the site to serve the adjacent sports pitch. Land toward the eastern edge of the site is to provide for a scout hut.'</p> <p>Amend policy to read:</p> <p><u>Policy 8: Crosby Farm</u></p> <p>Residential development at PDS10: Crosby Farm for at least 24 units</p> <p>Residential development at PDS10: Crosby Farm will be supported where:</p>

Appendix A: Final Modifications Schedule to the Slinfold Neighbourhood Plan 2014-2031 8 June 2018

Examiner's Reference	Policy Number	Examiner's Proposed Modification	Decision and Justification (5 March 2018)	Action Taken and Revised Modification (5 March 2018)	Final decision and justification /Modification to be carried forward (8 June 2018)
			<p><i>so that they respond to the different opportunities for achieving sustainable development in different areas.'</i></p> <p>Para. 15 goes on to stipulate: <i>'All plans should be based upon and reflect the presumption in favour of sustainable development with policies that will guide how the presumption should be applied locally.'</i></p> <p>Furthermore, To reintroduce development criterion to each allocation policy is to plan positively for local community through neighbourhood planning. This is specified in Para. 16 of the Framework: <i>'plan positively to support local development, shaping and directing development in their area that is outside the strategic elements of the Local Plan.'</i> Para. 58. of The Framework is also explicit that Neighbourhood Plans should develop policies which establish a</p>	<p>viability buffer (+/- 10%) and ensure the provision of affordable housing and other tenures which are compliant with the NPPF (Para. 50).</p> <p>Delete Paragraph 5.35 to reflect removal of upper limits to development for each allocation and removal of the scout hut and associated car park at this location.</p> <p>The following modification should read:</p> <p><u>Policy 10: Crosby Farm</u></p> <p>Residential development at PDS10: Crosby Farm for at least 24 units will be supported where:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The design positively responds to the prevailing character of the surrounding area; 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The design positively responds to the prevailing character of the surrounding area; The houses are no more than two storeys in height to reflect the local vernacular; Proposals allow for the retention of existing mature trees and hedges; Proposals create new Public Rights of Way to link the site to existing Public Rights of Way; and The site facilitates the development of a new cricket pavilion.

Appendix A: Final Modifications Schedule to the Slinfold Neighbourhood Plan 2014-2031 8 June 2018

Examiner's Reference	Policy Number	Examiner's Proposed Modification	Decision and Justification (5 March 2018)	Action Taken and Revised Modification (5 March 2018)	Final decision and justification /Modification to be carried forward (8 June 2018)
			<p>strong sense of place, respond to local character while it is proper to seek to promote or reinforce local distinctiveness (NPPF: Para 60).</p> <p>HDC therefore considers the reintroduction of policy criterion for each of the allocation would guide development which is acceptable to the community; takes a robust account of site specific local constraints and opportunities and guide applicants in the development management process.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. The houses are no more than two storeys in height to reflect the local vernacular; 3. Proposals allow for the retention of existing mature trees and hedges; 4. Proposals create new Public Rights of Way to link the site to existing Public Rights of Way; and 5. Contributions facilitates the development of a new cricket pavilion. 	
PM5	Policy 11	<p>Delete Policy 11 and insert: "Residential development at PDS11: West of Spring Lane will be supported."</p> <p>Amend paragraph 5.38 by the deletion of</p>	<p>Horsham District Council is not in agreement with the Examiner's recommendations regarding PM5.</p> <p>Whilst the Council recognises that some of the criteria set out in the Submission Slinfold Neighbourhood Development Plan are covered by the HDPF and do not need to be repeated, many</p>	<p>The reasoning and modifications proposed are summarised as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Providing clarification to any applicant that this sensitive rural fringe location should accommodate low density sustainable development 	<p>Taking account of further consultation responses and updated sustainability appraisal work, it is considered the reference to housing numbers on the site be removed. Other re-introduced criteria are retained. Reference to low density development is made in the supporting text which addresses</p>

Appendix A: Final Modifications Schedule to the Slinfold Neighbourhood Plan 2014-2031 8 June 2018

Examiner's Reference	Policy Number	Examiner's Proposed Modification	Decision and Justification (5 March 2018)	Action Taken and Revised Modification (5 March 2018)	Final decision and justification /Modification to be carried forward (8 June 2018)
		<p>"...up to 10 dwellings..." and the inclusion of "low density" before "residential development."</p>	<p>relate to site specific details which would give clarity to both the local community, developers and the development management decision making process as to the scale, layout and design of development on each of the allocations. To include such criteria is in accordance with the idea of localism which aims to allow communities to guide and shape development and without any more detailed guidance could lead to a plan that is radically different to that envisaged by the community who have worked to produce the plan.</p> <p>Para 10 of the NPPF is explicit that: <i>'Plans and Decisions need to take local circumstances into account so that they respond to the different opportunities for achieving sustainable development in different areas.'</i> Para. 15 goes on to stipulate: <i>'All plans should be based upon and</i></p>	<p>and be in keeping with the locality;</p> <p>2. To have further consideration of local constraints, respect the rural character of this location and to guide the quantum (low density) of development acceptable at this location; and</p> <p>3. <i>'At least'</i> to bring about further flexibility to the policy in regards to dwelling numbers to allow for viability buffer (+/- 10%) and ensure the provision of affordable housing and to provide a mix of tenures (Para. 50 - NPPF).</p> <p>The supporting text and policy should be amended as follows:</p> <p>Amend paragraph 5.38 to read: It is considered that the site is</p>	<p>the expectation set out in the Examiners report as to the type of development that should come forward in this location.</p> <p>Amend Para 5.33 to read:</p> <p><i>'It is considered that the site is suitable for low density residential development. Integral to this is the need for the design to respect and positively respond to the rural context of the site.'</i></p> <p>Reference to 'up to 10 dwellings..' removed.</p> <p>Amend policy to read:</p> <p>Policy 9: West of Spring Lane</p> <p>Residential development for at least 10 units at PDS11: West of Spring Lane will be supported for where</p>

Appendix A: Final Modifications Schedule to the Slinfold Neighbourhood Plan 2014-2031 8 June 2018

Examiner's Reference	Policy Number	Examiner's Proposed Modification	Decision and Justification (5 March 2018)	Action Taken and Revised Modification (5 March 2018)	Final decision and justification /Modification to be carried forward (8 June 2018)
			<p><i>reflect the presumption in favour of sustainable development with policies that will guide how the presumption should be applied locally.'</i></p> <p>Furthermore, To reintroduce development criterion to each allocation policy is to plan positively for local community through neighbourhood planning. This is specified in Para. 16 of the Framework: <i>'plan positively to support local development, shaping and directing development in their area that is outside the strategic elements of the Local Plan.'</i> Para. 58. of The Framework is also explicit that Neighbourhood Plans should develop policies which establish a strong sense of place, respond to local character while it is proper to seek to promote or reinforce local distinctiveness (NPPF: Para 60).</p>	<p>suitable for <u>low density</u> residential development of up to 10 dwellings. Integral to this is the need for the design to respect and positively respond to the rural context of the site.</p> <p>The policy should read:</p> <p>Policy 11: West of Spring Lane</p> <p>Residential development for <u>at least 10</u> units at PDS11: West of Spring Lane will be supported for will where:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The design positively responds to the prevailing character of the surrounding area; 2. The houses are no more than two storeys in height to reflect the local rural vernacular; 3. Proposals allow for the retention of existing 	<p>Residential development at PDS11: West of Spring Lane will be supported where:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The design positively responds to the prevailing character of the surrounding area; 2. The houses are no more than two storeys in height to reflect the local rural vernacular; 3. Proposals allow for the retention of existing mature trees and hedges; 4. A suitable landscape buffer seeks to protect the character of the area particularly on the eastern side of the site along Spring Lane. 5. Access is to be gained from the northern part of Spring Lane; and 6. Proposals include open space on the southern part of the site.

Appendix A: Final Modifications Schedule to the Slinfold Neighbourhood Plan 2014-2031 8 June 2018

Examiner's Reference	Policy Number	Examiner's Proposed Modification	Decision and Justification (5 March 2018)	Action Taken and Revised Modification (5 March 2018)	Final decision and justification /Modification to be carried forward (8 June 2018)
			HDC therefore considers the reintroduction of policy criterion for each of the allocation would guide development which is acceptable to the community; takes a robust account of site specific local constraints and opportunities and guide applicants in the development management process.	<p>mature trees and hedges; and</p> <p>4. A suitable landscape buffer seeks to protect the character of the area particularly on the eastern side of the site along Spring Lane.</p> <p>5. Access is to be gained from the northern part of Spring Lane; and</p> <p>6. Proposals include open space on the southern part of the site.</p>	
PM6	Policy 12	Delete Policy 12 and insert: “Residential development at PDS12: The Cobblers, Hayes Lane will be supported.” Amend paragraph 5.42 by substituting the last two sentences with: “Support is also offered for the development of	Horsham District Council is not in agreement with the Examiner's recommendations regarding PM6. Whilst the Council recognises that some of the criteria set out in the Submission Slinfold Neighbourhood Development Plan are covered by the HDPF and do not need to be repeated, many relate to site specific details which would give clarity to both the local community, developers and the	The reasoning and modifications proposed are summarised as follows: 1. Providing clarification to guide the density of sustainable development at this location; 2. To have further consideration of local constraints, respect the	Taking account of further consultation responses and updated sustainability appraisal work, it is considered the reference to housing numbers on the site be removed. Other re-introduced criteria are retained. Reference to low density development is made in the supporting text which addresses the expectation set out in the Examiners report as to the type of

Appendix A: Final Modifications Schedule to the Slinfold Neighbourhood Plan 2014-2031 8 June 2018

Examiner's Reference	Policy Number	Examiner's Proposed Modification	Decision and Justification (5 March 2018)	Action Taken and Revised Modification (5 March 2018)	Final decision and justification /Modification to be carried forward (8 June 2018)
		<p>additional units on the site which are likely to have a focus on the provision of housing for the elderly."</p>	<p>development management decision making process as to the scale, layout and design of development on each of the allocations. To include such criteria is in accordance with the idea of localism which aims to allow communities to guide and shape development and without any more detailed guidance could lead to a plan that is radically different to that envisaged by the community who have worked to produce the plan.</p> <p>Para 10 of the NPPF is explicit that: <i>'Plans and Decisions need to take local circumstances into account so that they respond to the different opportunities for achieving sustainable development in different areas.'</i> Para. 15 goes on to stipulate: <i>'All plans should be based upon and reflect the presumption in favour of sustainable development with policies that will guide how the</i></p>	<p>rural character of this location and to guide development at this location;</p> <p>3. <i>'At least'</i> to bring about further flexibility to the policy in regards to dwelling numbers (+/- 10%) to allow for viability buffer and ensure the provision of affordable housing and to provide a mix of tenures (Para. 50 - NPPF).</p> <p>4. To ensure the Scout Hut is retained in its current central location through planning policy as advocated by the Examiner with contributions sought to replace the hut. This is in conformity with the NPPF and the promotion of Healthy Communities (Para. 70, 75) and HDPF Policy 43.</p>	<p>development that should come forward in this location.</p> <p>Amendment to final criterion and update to supporting text is provided to reflect the potential for a new scout hut to be delivered on a number of possible sites but ensure that it can be re-provisioned on this site should it not come forward elsewhere.</p> <p>Amend paragraph 5.37 by substituting the last two sentences with: "Support is also offered for the development of additional units on the site which are likely to have a focus on the provision of housing for the elderly."</p> <p>Further text to be added at the end of Para 5.38 regarding the scout hut:</p> <p>' Amend policy to read:</p>

Appendix A: Final Modifications Schedule to the Slinfold Neighbourhood Plan 2014-2031 8 June 2018

Examiner's Reference	Policy Number	Examiner's Proposed Modification	Decision and Justification (5 March 2018)	Action Taken and Revised Modification (5 March 2018)	Final decision and justification /Modification to be carried forward (8 June 2018)
			<p><i>presumption should be applied locally.'</i></p> <p>Furthermore, To reintroduce development criterion to each allocation policy is to plan positively for local community through neighbourhood planning. This is specified in Para. 16 of the Framework: <i>'plan positively to support local development, shaping and directing development in their area that is outside the strategic elements of the Local Plan.'</i> Para. 58. of The Framework is also explicit that Neighbourhood Plans should develop policies which establish a strong sense of place, respond to local character while it is proper to seek to promote or reinforce local distinctiveness (NPPF: Para 60).</p> <p>HDC therefore considers the reintroduction of policy criterion for each of the allocation would guide development which is</p>	<p>The supporting text and policy should be amended as follows:</p> <p>Amend Paragraph 5.42 to read:</p> <p>'Proposals to upgrade or replace the living accommodation of the existing units (which are marketed to 55 year olds) will be supported. In addition, support is offered for the development of up to 10 additional units on the site. The net additional 10 units are (like the existing units) to have a focus on the provision of housing for the elderly. Support is also offered for the development of additional units on the site which are likely to have a focus on the provision of housing for the elderly.'</p> <p>Additional para to be included following para 5.43 to read: <u>Given the identified local need for a replacement scout hut to</u></p>	<p><u>Policy 10 The Cobblers</u></p> <p>[Residential development of at least net additional 10 units at PDS12: The Cobblers, Hayes Lane</p> <p><u>Residential development at PDS12: The Cobblers, Hayes Lane will be supported where:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Proposals facilitate the upgrade or replacement of the existing 17 units; 2. Proposals demonstrate that special regard has been had to preserving and enhancing the character of the adjacent Conservation Area; 3. A suitable mix of dwelling types and sizes to meet the needs of current and future households is provided, with a focus on the elderly; 4. Proposals allow for the retention of existing

Appendix A: Final Modifications Schedule to the Slinfold Neighbourhood Plan 2014-2031 8 June 2018

Examiner's Reference	Policy Number	Examiner's Proposed Modification	Decision and Justification (5 March 2018)	Action Taken and Revised Modification (5 March 2018)	Final decision and justification /Modification to be carried forward (8 June 2018)
			<p>acceptable to the community; takes a robust account of site specific local constraints and opportunities and guide applicants in the development management process.</p>	<p><u>be provided in the Parish, development proposals which provide a replacement scout hut on land on the northern eastern edge of the site will be supported.</u></p> <p>The amended policy should read:</p> <p>Policy 12: The Cobblers</p> <p>Residential development of <u>at least a net</u> additional 10 units at PDS12: The Cobblers, Hayes Lane will be supported where:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Proposals facilitate the upgrade or replacement of the existing 17 units; 2. Proposals demonstrate that special regard has been had to preserving and enhancing the character the character 	<p>mature trees and hedges; and</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. Proposals include a replacement scout hut if <u>no alternative provision can be made elsewhere.</u>

Appendix A: Final Modifications Schedule to the Slinfold Neighbourhood Plan 2014-2031 8 June 2018

Examiner's Reference	Policy Number	Examiner's Proposed Modification	Decision and Justification (5 March 2018)	Action Taken and Revised Modification (5 March 2018)	Final decision and justification /Modification to be carried forward (8 June 2018)
				<p>of the adjacent Conservation Area;</p> <p>3. A suitable mix of dwelling types and sizes to meet the needs of current and future households is provided, with a focus on the elderly;</p> <p>4. Proposals allow for the retention of existing mature trees and hedges; and</p> <p>5. Proposals include a replacement scout hut.</p>	
PM7	New Map	Include a Map (1:5000: At A3) similar to that dated 27/11/2017 on which is marked the revised BUAB, housing allocations, Local Green Spaces, etc.	HDC is agreement with the Examiner that it is necessary to provide a modified map to make clear what is intended to happen in the area over the life of the plan. A policies map must illustrate geographically the application of policies in a development plan.	The Policies map will be revised to delineate modifications put forward by the Examiner including amendments to the BUAB to accommodate the new allocations; deletion of scout hut and car park at Crosby Farm; the inclusion of scout hut as part of the Cobblers allocation and the removal of the LGS designation recommended for deletion.	<p>Policies Map to be carried forward to the final plan to show final allocations, updates to the BUAB as set out in this decision statement.</p> <p>Details such as site layout, design, infrastructure, environmental mitigation will be dealt through the development management process.</p>

Appendix A: Final Modifications Schedule to the Slinfold Neighbourhood Plan 2014-2031 8 June 2018

Examiner's Reference	Policy Number	Examiner's Proposed Modification	Decision and Justification (5 March 2018)	Action Taken and Revised Modification (5 March 2018)	Final decision and justification /Modification to be carried forward (8 June 2018)
				Please refer to the Policies Map (see attachment).	
PM8	Policy 14	Add to the policy: "3....., or 4. Are in appropriate locations in the countryside."	HDC is agreement with the Examiner.	Modification carried forward to the final plan	Modification carried forward to the final plan. Consequential amendment to policy numbering to reflect deletion of earlier policies in this document.
PM9	Policy 1	Delete Policy 1. Insert at end of paragraph 4.5 "This will be achieved by offering full support to Horsham DC in implementing HDPF Policy 27."	HDC is in agreement with the Examiner's recommendation in regards to PM9 that Policy 27 of the HDPF will be sufficiently comprehensive to prevent settlement coalescence and that policy 1 of the Slinfold NP does replicates many of the criterion stipulated in Policy 27. Following consultation with the Steering Group it was agreed that Policy 1 will be amended to a 'Community Aim' which is not a planning policy and will not have any statutory weight in determining planning applications, but will nevertheless	Change Policy 1 to Community Aim to reflect discussion between the Council and the Steering Group. Insert at the end of Para. 45: <i>Protecting the separate identity of Slinfold is a key <u>Community Aim objective</u> of the SNP. It is considered important to ensure the spacing, openness and rural character of the Parish between the Village and the adjoining urban areas are retained and protected. <u>This will be achieved by offering full support to Horsham DC in implementing HDPF Policy 27.</u></i>	Modification carried forward to the final plan. Amend section to read: <u>Aim Policy 1:</u> Preventing Coalescence between Slinfold and Broadbridge Heath. Protecting the separate identity of Slinfold is a key community aim objective of the SNP. It is considered important to ensure the spacing, openness and rural character of the Parish between the Village and the adjoining urban areas are retained and protected. This will be achieved by offering

Appendix A: Final Modifications Schedule to the Slinfold Neighbourhood Plan 2014-2031 8 June 2018

Examiner's Reference	Policy Number	Examiner's Proposed Modification	Decision and Justification (5 March 2018)	Action Taken and Revised Modification (5 March 2018)	Final decision and justification /Modification to be carried forward (8 June 2018)
			continue to set out the community's position on this issue.		<u>full support to Horsham DC in implementing HDPF Policy 27.</u>
PM10	Policy 3	Delete LGS1 and paragraphs 4.16 and 4.17.	HDC is agreement with the Examiner.	Modification carried forward to the final plan	Modification carried forward to the final plan as set out in section 4 of the decision statement. Deletion of LGS1 and paragraphs 4.16 and 4.17.
PM11	Policy 3	Delete LGS2	HDC is agreement with the Examiner.	Modification carried forward to the final plan	Modification carried forward to the final plan as set out in section 4 of the decision statement. Deletion of LGS2. Amend Policy to read: <u>Policy 2 Protection of Local Green Space.</u> The SNP designates the following locations as Local Green Spaces (as identified on [Delete] the PDS3: LGS Overview [Insert] the Policies Map):
PM12	Policy 3	Delete LGS3	HDC is agreement with the Examiner.	Modification carried forward to the final plan	Modification carried forward to the final plan as set out in

Appendix A: Final Modifications Schedule to the Slinfold Neighbourhood Plan 2014-2031 8 June 2018

Examiner's Reference	Policy Number	Examiner's Proposed Modification	Decision and Justification (5 March 2018)	Action Taken and Revised Modification (5 March 2018)	Final decision and justification /Modification to be carried forward (8 June 2018)
					<p>section 4 of the decision statement. Deletion of LGS3.</p> <p>Amend Policy to read:</p> <p><u>Policy 2 Protection of Local Green Space.</u></p> <p>The SNP designates the following locations as Local Green Spaces (as identified on the PDS3: LGS Overview the Policies Map:</p>
PM13	Policy 5	Policy title: replace "Protect" with "Conserve". First sentence of the policy: replace "protect" with "conserve". Second sentence of the policy: replace "protecting" with "conserving".	HDC is agreement with the Examiner.	Modification carried forward to the final plan	<p>Modification carried forward to the final plan to ensure the policy wording is in accordance with government and local planning policy.</p> <p>Amend Policy to read:</p> <p>Policy 4: Protect <u>Conserve</u> and Enhance Biodiversity</p> <p>1. Development proposals, which aim to Protect</p>

Appendix A: Final Modifications Schedule to the Slinfold Neighbourhood Plan 2014-2031 8 June 2018

Examiner's Reference	Policy Number	Examiner's Proposed Modification	Decision and Justification (5 March 2018)	Action Taken and Revised Modification (5 March 2018)	Final decision and justification /Modification to be carried forward (8 June 2018)
					<p><i>Conserve</i> and enhance biodiversity, will be supported. In protecting <i>conserving</i> and enhancing biodiversity proposals should:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Conserve designated sites, protected species and ancient or species-rich hedgerows, grasslands and woodlands; 3. Preserve ecological networks, and the migration and transition of flora and fauna; 4. Protect aged or veteran trees; 5. Preserve, restore and re-create wildlife habitats/species; 6. Follow best practice in Sustainable Drainage techniques; and 7. Where development is anticipated to have a direct or indirect adverse impact on sites or features for

Appendix A: Final Modifications Schedule to the Slinfold Neighbourhood Plan 2014-2031 8 June 2018

Examiner's Reference	Policy Number	Examiner's Proposed Modification	Decision and Justification (5 March 2018)	Action Taken and Revised Modification (5 March 2018)	Final decision and justification /Modification to be carried forward (8 June 2018)
					<p>biodiversity, development will be refused unless it can be demonstrated that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. The reason for the development clearly outweighs the need to protect the value of the site; and, ii. That appropriate mitigation and compensation measures are provided.
PM14	Policy 5	Add to the policy: “Where development is anticipated to have a direct or indirect adverse impact on sites or features for biodiversity, development will be refused unless it can be demonstrated that: i. The reason for the development clearly	HDC is agreement with the Examiner.	Modification carried forward to the final plan	Modification carried forward to the final plan to ensure the policy wording is in accordance with government and local planning policy. See previous (PM13) for final wording

Appendix A: Final Modifications Schedule to the Slinfold Neighbourhood Plan 2014-2031 8 June 2018

Examiner's Reference	Policy Number	Examiner's Proposed Modification	Decision and Justification (5 March 2018)	Action Taken and Revised Modification (5 March 2018)	Final decision and justification /Modification to be carried forward (8 June 2018)
		outweighs the need to protect the value of the site; and, ii. That appropriate mitigation and compensation measures are provided."			
PM15	Policy 2	The phrase "or appearance" should be added to the first sentence after the word "... character".	HDC is agreement with the Examiner.	Modification carried forward to the final plan	<p>Modification carried forward to the final plan to ensure the policy wording is in accordance with government and local planning policy.</p> <p>Amend policy to read:</p> <p><u>Policy 1: Conservation Area</u></p> <p>Development proposals within or affecting the setting of the Slinfold Conservation Area must pay special regard to preserving and/or enhancing its character <i>or appearance</i>.</p>

Appendix A: Final Modifications Schedule to the Slinfold Neighbourhood Plan 2014-2031 8 June 2018

Examiner's Reference	Policy Number	Examiner's Proposed Modification	Decision and Justification (5 March 2018)	Action Taken and Revised Modification (5 March 2018)	Final decision and justification /Modification to be carried forward (8 June 2018)
					Special regard must also be paid to the setting of the Conservation Area.
PM16	Policy 2	Delete final sentence.	HDC is agreement with the Examiner.	Modification carried forward to the final plan	<p>Modification carried forward to the final plan to allow the policy to be applied consistently and with the minimum of uncertainty and is therefore in accordance with national and local guidance.</p> <p>Amend Policy to read:</p> <p><u>Policy 1: Conservation Area</u></p> <p>Development proposals within or affecting the setting of the Slinfold Conservation Area must pay special regard to preserving and/or enhancing its character <u>or appearance</u>.</p> <p>Special regard must also be paid to the setting of the Conservation Area.</p> <p>Proposals which protect important views into, and out of the</p>

Appendix A: Final Modifications Schedule to the Slinfold Neighbourhood Plan 2014-2031 8 June 2018

Examiner's Reference	Policy Number	Examiner's Proposed Modification	Decision and Justification (5 March 2018)	Action Taken and Revised Modification (5 March 2018)	Final decision and justification /Modification to be carried forward (8 June 2018)
					Conservation Area from land to the south, The Street and the Parish Church will be supported.
PM17	Policy 15	Add to item 1: "Where feasible, equivalent (in qualitative and quantitative terms) etc".	HDC is agreement with the Examiner.	Modification carried forward to the final plan	<p>Modification carried forward to the final plan to ensure the policy wording is reasonable and realistic and is in conformity with national and local policy.</p> <p>Amend policy to read:</p> <p><u>Policy 13: Community Facilities</u></p> <p>Development proposals which result in the net loss of community facilities will not be supported. Development proposals for the alteration and/or replacement of community facilities will be supported where:</p> <p>1. <i>Where feasible</i>, equivalent (in qualitative and quantitative terms) or enhanced facilities are provided to serve local needs;</p>

Appendix A: Final Modifications Schedule to the Slinfold Neighbourhood Plan 2014-2031 8 June 2018

Examiner's Reference	Policy Number	Examiner's Proposed Modification	Decision and Justification (5 March 2018)	Action Taken and Revised Modification (5 March 2018)	Final decision and justification /Modification to be carried forward (8 June 2018)
					<p>2. Proposals for the replacement of a community facility ensure the replacement facility is made available before the closure of the existing facility;</p> <p>3. Facilities are easily accessible for the benefit of the community;</p> <p>4. There is no adverse impact on local amenity; and</p> <p>5. Heritage assets and their setting are protected.</p>

